



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 10/051,548 | 01/16/2002 | Sridevi Sarma | F00526/70003 (GSE/IMH) | 9642 |

7590
Donald R. Steinberg
Hale and Dorr LLP
60 State Street
Boston, MA 02109

01/31/2006

| |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

DAVIS, GEORGE B

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

2129

DATE MAILED: 01/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. 10/051,548 | Applicant(s) SARMA ET AL. | |
| | Examiner George Davis | Art Unit 2129 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) George Davis. (3)_____.

(2) Ronald E. Cahill. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 27 January 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: specification pages 28 and 45 where there is data processing system.

Claim(s) discussed: 115-136.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: With regard to claim 134, computer program implemented by a computer is not statutory because the claimed invention did not establish a concrete and tangible results see *Grams*, 888 F. 2d at 841, 12 USPQ2d at 1829. Examiner suggested to applicant if the claims were apparatus claims and a machine is performing the steps such as the step of modifying a segmentation, the claims will be statutory. Applicant should be aware that amended claimed language must be supported by the language in the specification .